arXiv:1402.5905v1 [math.NT] 24 Feb 2014

Constructing Hilbert modular forms whithout exceptional primes

LUIS V. DIEULEFAIT [*], ADRIÁN ZENTENO-GUTIÉRREZ [†]

February 25, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we construct families of Hilbert modular newforms without exceptional primes. This is achieved by generalizing the notion of good-dihedral primes, introduced by Khare and Wintenberger in their proof of Serre's modularity conjecture, to totally real fields.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F80, 11F41.

Introduction

In order to prove new cases of the Inverse Galois Problem, Dieulefait and Wiese [DW11] have constructed families of newforms without exceptional primes by using the notion of tamely dihedral representation, which is a slight variation of the good-dihedral representations introduced by Khare and Wintenberger in [KW09a]. More precisely they prove the following result.

Theorem. *There exist eigenforms $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with coefficient fields $(E_{f_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of weight 2, trivial nebentypus, without nontrivial inner twists and without complex multiplication such that*

i) for all n and all maximal ideals Λ_n of $\mathcal{O}_{E_{f_n}}$ the Galois representation $\overline{\rho}^{proj}_{f_n,\Lambda_n}$ associated to f_n is nonexceptional in the sense of Definition 3.2 and

ii) for fixed prime ℓ, the size of the image of $\overline{\rho}^{proj}_{f_n,\Lambda_n}$ for $\Lambda_n|\ell$ is unbounded for running n.

In this paper we extend this construction to Hilbert modular newforms of arithmetic weight k, defining the notion of tamely dihedral representation in a totally real field.

Our construction closely follows the construction of Dieulefait and Wiese which consists of adding tamely dihedral primes to the level, via level raising, which correspond to supercuspidal representations. We will use of a Lemma of Dimitrov and we are going to be able to construct the Hilbert modular newforms of arbitrary weight, not only of parallel weight 2. On the other hand, we will add an extra ingredient to our construction in order to avoid the possibility that the Hilbert modular newforms considered come from a base change. This phenomenon does not occur in the classical case.

We itemize the contents of the paper. In the first two sections we recall the notion of inner twist and complex multiplication for 2-dimensional Galois representations. In section 3 we review

[*]Departament d'Algebra i Geometria, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain, ldieulefait@ub.edu

[†]Instituto de Matemáticas, (Unidad Cuernavaca) UNAM. Av. Universidad s/n. Col. Lomas de Chamilpa CP 62210, Cuernavaca, Mexico. matematicazg@ciencias.unam.mx

essential facts about the correspondence between Galois representations and Hilbert modular forms. In section 4 we define the notion of tamely dihedral representations and show some basic lemmas that will later be used in order to exclude nontrivial inner twists. In section 5 we collect the tools for constructing the sought for Hilbert modular newforms via level raising. Finally, in section 6 we construct families of Hilbert modular newforms without exceptional primes and without nontrivial inner twists such that none of them comes from a base change.

Acknowledgment

This paper is part of the second author's PhD thesis. Part of this work has been written during a stay at the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics and a stay, of the second author, at the Mathematical Institute of the University of Barcelona. The authors would like to thank these institutions for their support and the optimal working conditions. The research of L.V.D. was supported by an ICREA Academia Research Prize and by MICINN grant MTM2012-33830. The research of A.Z.G. was supported by the CONACYT grant no. 432521/286915.

Notations

We shall use the following notations. Let K be a number field or a ℓ-adic field. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers. In particular if K is a number field, $\mathfrak{d}_K$ denote the different of K, h_K denote the class number of K and by a prime of K we mean a nonzero prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. We denote by G_K the absolute Galois group of K. For a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$, we let $D_\mathfrak{q}$ and $I_\mathfrak{q}$ be the corresponding decomposition and inertia group at $\mathfrak{q}$, respectively. By $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi)$ we means the space of classical Hilbert modular cuspforms of weight k, level $\mathfrak{n}$ and nebentypus ψ. If ψ is trivial, we write $S_k(\mathfrak{n})$ for short. Finally for a number field K we denote by $K(\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$ the maximal abelian polyquadratic extension which is ramified only at the primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m$. By the Hermite-Minkowski theorem $K(\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$ is a number field.

1 Inner twists and complex multiplication

In this and the next section we review some facts on inner twists and complex multiplication for 2-dimensional Galois representations. Our main reference is [AdDW13].

Let K be an ℓ-adic field with the ℓ-adic topology or a finite field with the discrete topology and L/K a finite Galois extension with Galois group $\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ endowed with the Krull topology. Let F be a totally real field and $\mathcal{E} = \{\epsilon : G_F \to L^\times\}$ be the set of continuous characters from G_F to $L^\times$. Note that our assumptions imply that the image of ϵ lies in a finite extension of K and that Γ acts on $\mathcal{E}$ from the left by composition: ${}^\gamma\epsilon := \gamma \circ \epsilon$. Then, we can form the semi-direct product $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{E} \rtimes \Gamma$ induced by this action. Concretely, the product and inverse in $\mathcal{G}$ are defined as:

$$(\gamma_1, \epsilon_1) \cdot (\gamma_2, \epsilon_2) := (\gamma_1\gamma_2, ({}^{\gamma_1}\epsilon_2)\epsilon_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma, \epsilon)^{-1} := ({\gamma^{-1}}, {}^{\gamma^{-1}}(\epsilon^{-1})).$$

Consequently, we have the exact sequence:

$$1 \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \to 1$$

where i (resp. π) is defined as $\epsilon \mapsto (1, \epsilon)$ (resp. $(\gamma, \epsilon) \mapsto \gamma$).

In this paper we will consider only 2-dimensional Galois representations $\rho : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$, however the next results of Arias-de-Reyna, Dieulefait and Wiese are valid for arbitrary n-dimensional Galois representations.

Let $\rho, \rho' : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ be Galois representations. We say that ρ and ρ' are equivalent (denoted $\rho \sim \rho'$) if they are conjugate by an element of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$. We denote the set of equivalence classes by $\mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$ and note that $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $\mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$ from the left as follows:

$$(\gamma, \epsilon) \cdot [\rho] := [({}^{\gamma}\rho) \otimes_L \epsilon^{-1}],$$

where $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ y $[\rho] \in \mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$.

Let $[\rho] \in \mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$. Define $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ to be the stabilizer group of $[\rho]$ in $\mathcal{G}$ for the $\mathcal{G}$-action on $\mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$. This group is called the *inner twists group of* $[\rho]$. Explicitly, $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}$ is an *inner twist of* $[\rho]$ if and only if $[\rho] = [({}^{\gamma}\rho) \otimes_L \epsilon^{-1}]$, which is the case if and only if

$$[{}^{\gamma}\rho] = [\rho \otimes_L \epsilon].$$

In particular, if $[\rho], [\rho'] \in \mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$ are absolutely irreducible and such that $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(g)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(g'))$ for all $g \in G_F$, then $[\rho] = [\rho']$. Therefore, we have for all $[\rho] \in \mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$ absolutely irreducible that

$$\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]} = \{(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G} : \gamma(\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(g))) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(g))\epsilon(g),\ \forall g \in G_F\}.$$

We define the groups:

$$\Gamma_{[\rho]} := \pi(\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}) \subset \Gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} := i^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}) = i^{-1}(\ker(\pi|_{\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}})).$$

We define the group $\Delta_{[\rho]} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]} : (\gamma, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}\}$ and the field $E_{[\rho]} := L^{\Delta_{[\rho]}}$. Let $[\rho] \in \mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$ be (residually) absolutely irreducible. Let $\chi : G_F \to K^{\times}$ be any character and $\psi : G_F \to L^{\times}$ be a character of finite order. Assume that $\det \rho = \psi\chi$ and E_ρ contains the square roots of the values of ψ. Then the equivalence class $[\rho]$ contains a representation that can be define over the field $E_{[\rho]}$ and $E_{[\rho]}$ is the smallest such subfield of L. Moreover, $E_{[\rho]}$ is generated over K by the traces $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(g))$ for $g \in G_F$. Consequently, $E_{[\rho]}$ is called the *field of definition of* $[\rho]$.

Now, let $\rho_1, \rho_2 : G_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(L)$ be projective representations. We call ρ_1 and ρ_2 equivalent (also denoted $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$) if they are conjugate by the class (modulo scalars) of a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$. The equivalence class of ρ_i is also denoted $[\rho_i]$ and the set of such equivalence class is denoted by $\mathcal{PGL}_2(G_F, L)$. In particular for $\rho : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ we denote by ρ^{proj} the composition of ρ with the natural projection $\mathrm{GL}_2(L) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(L)$.

For $[\rho] \in \mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ one has $\rho^{proj} \sim (\rho \otimes \epsilon)^{proj}$. Conversely, if $[\rho_1], [\rho_2] \in \mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$ are such that $\rho_1^{proj} \sim \rho_2^{proj}$, then there is $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $[\rho_1] \sim [\rho_2 \otimes \epsilon]$. Thus we have that

$$\Gamma_{[\rho]} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma :\ {}^{\gamma}\rho^{proj} \sim \rho^{proj}\}$$

Define the field $K_{[\rho]} = L^{\Gamma_{[\rho]}}$. If ρ^{proj} factors as $G_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\tilde{K}) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(L)$ for some field $K \subset \tilde{K} \subset L$, then $K_{[\rho]} \subset \tilde{K}$. Moreover, if $[\rho]$ is such that its restriction to the subgroup

$$I_{[\rho]} := \bigcap_{\{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E} : \exists (\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}\}} \ker(\epsilon)$$

is (residually) absolutely irreducible (in particular, this implies that $[\rho]$ has no complex multiplication), then the equivalence class of ρ^{proj} has a member that factors through $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K_{[\rho]})$ and $K_{[\rho]}$ is the smallest subfield of L with this property. Consequently $K_{[\rho]}$ is called the *projective field of definition of* $[\rho]$.

REMARK 1.1. Note that if $E_{[\rho]}$ contains the square roots of ψ, then $\Delta_{[\rho]}$ is an open normal subgroup of $\Gamma_{[\rho]}$ and, hence, $E_{[\rho]}/K_{[\rho]}$ is a finite extension with Galois group $\Gamma_{[\rho]}/\Delta_{[\rho]}$. In particular, if $[\rho]$ does not have any nontrivial inner twist and no complex multiplication, $L = E_{[\rho]} = K_{[\rho]}$.

Finally, we will give a couple of lemmas similar to Proposition 3.3 of [AdDW13].

Lemma 1.2. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic ℓ and $[\rho] \in \mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a prime of F above ℓ and h, t two integers. Suppose that*

$$(\rho \otimes \chi_\ell^t)|_{I_\mathfrak{L}} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{2h}^b & * \\ 0 & \varphi_{2h}^{b\ell^h} \end{pmatrix}$$

where φ_{2h} is a fundamental character of niveau $2h$, χ_ℓ is the mod-ℓ cyclotomic character and $b = a_0 + a_1\ell + \ldots + a_{2h-1}\ell^{2h-1}$ is such that $0 \leq a_i < \frac{\ell-1}{4}$ and $a_0 + a_h = \ldots = a_{h-1} + a_{2h-1}$. Then the character ϵ is unramified at $\mathfrak{L}$ for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$.

Proof. Note that the restriction to $I_\mathfrak{L}$ of the determinant of ρ is χ_ℓ^{a-t}, where $a = a_i + a_{h+i}$ $(i = 0, \cdots, h-1)$. We know that any exponent x of φ_{2h} is of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{2h} a^{(j)}\ell^{j-1}$ where $\{a^{(j)} : j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2h-1\}$ is a cyclic permutation of the elements of $S = \{a_0, \ldots, a_{2h-1}\}$. Then we have the following estimate for x

$$0 \leq x = \sum_{j=1}^{2h} a^{(j)}\ell^{j-1} < \sum_{j=1}^{2h} \frac{\ell-1}{4}\ell^{j-1} = \frac{\ell^{2h}-1}{4}. \tag{1}$$

Let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. As K is a finite field, γ acts by raising to the ℓ^c-th power for some c. In particular ${}^\gamma\varphi_{2h} = \varphi_{2h}^{\ell^c}$. This shows that ${}^\gamma(\rho \otimes \chi_\ell^t)|_{I_\mathfrak{L}}$ has the same shape as $(\rho \otimes \chi_\ell^t)|_{I_\mathfrak{L}}$ except that the elements of S are permuted. Taking the determinant on both sides of ${}^\gamma\rho \cong \rho \otimes \epsilon$ yields that $\epsilon|_{I_\mathfrak{L}}$ has order 2, as γ acts trivially on the cyclotomic character. Moreover, looking at any diagonal entry we get

$$\varphi_{2h}^x = \varphi_{2h}^y \cdot \epsilon|_{I_\mathfrak{L}} \tag{2}$$

for some exponents x and y. On the other hand, we know that the order of $\epsilon|_{I_\mathfrak{L}}$ is 2, then we have $\epsilon|_{I_\mathfrak{L}} = \varphi_{2h}^{\frac{\ell^{2h}-1}{2}}$.

But, as the order of φ_{2h} is $\ell^{2h} - 1$, equation (2) implies that $\frac{\ell^{2h}-1}{2} + y - x$ is divisible by $\ell^{2h} - 1$. Thus we get a contradiction because y and x are less than $\frac{\ell^{2h}-1}{4}$ by (1). Therefore $\epsilon|_{I_\mathfrak{L}}$ is trivial, then unramified at $\mathfrak{L}$. □

Lemma 1.3. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic ℓ and $[\rho] \in \mathcal{GL}_2(G_F, L)$. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a prime of F above ℓ and h, t two integers. Suppose that*

$$(\rho \otimes \chi_\ell^t)|_{I_\mathfrak{L}} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_h^a & * \\ 0 & \varphi_h^b \end{pmatrix}$$

where ψ_h is a fundamental character of niveau h, χ_ℓ is the mod-ℓ cyclotomic character and a, b are of the form $a = a_0 + a_1\ell + \ldots + a_{h-1}\ell^{h-1}$ and $b = a_h + a_{h+1}\ell + \ldots + a_{2h-1}\ell^{h-1}$ with $0 \leq a_i < \frac{\ell-1}{4}$ and $a_0 + a_h = \ldots = a_{h-1} + a_{2h-1}$. Then the character ϵ is unramified at $\mathfrak{L}$ for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 1.2. □

We will say that the representations in Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3 have *tame inertia weights at most k* if $a_i \leq k$ for all i.

2 Compatible systems of Galois representations

Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of number fields, F be a totally real field and S be a finite set of primes of F. A *compatible system* $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\Lambda)_\Lambda$ *of* 2*-dimensional representation of* G_F consist of the following data:

- For each prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of F not in S, a monic polynomial $P_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{O}_L[X]$.
- For each prime Λ of L (together with fixed embeddings $L \hookrightarrow L_\Lambda \hookrightarrow \overline{L}_\Lambda$) a continuous Galois representation
$$\rho_\Lambda : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{L}_\Lambda)$$
such that ρ_Λ is unramified outside $S \cup S_\ell$ (where ℓ is the residual characteristic of Λ and S_ℓ is the set of primes of F lying above ℓ) and such that for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S \cup S_\ell$ the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_\Lambda(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$ is equal to $P_\mathfrak{p}$ (inside $\overline{L}_\Lambda[X]$).

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi : G_F \to L^\times$ be a continuous finite order character. We say that the compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\Lambda)_\Lambda$ has *determinant* $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ if for all prime Λ of L above a rational prime ℓ, for all primes ℓ, the determinant of ρ_Λ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ with χ_ℓ the ℓ-adic cyclotomic character.

Henceforth, we will assume that $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\Lambda)_\Lambda$ is *almost everywhere absolutely irreducible*, i.e. all its members ρ_Λ are absolutely irreducible except for a finitely many primes Λ of L. Additionally, we assume that $\rho_\bullet$ has determinant $\psi\chi_\ell^a$.

Note that if we have a number field K with the discrete topology and L/K a finite Galois extension we can define $\mathcal{E}$, Γ and $\mathcal{G}$ in the same way as in § 1 for ℓ-adic or finite fields. For a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of F not in S, denote by $a_\mathfrak{p}$ the coefficient in front of X of $P_\mathfrak{p}$. We define

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet} := \{(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G} : \gamma(a_\mathfrak{p}) = a_\mathfrak{p} \cdot \epsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}),\ \forall \mathfrak{p} \notin S\},$$

$$\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet} := \pi(\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}) \subset \Gamma, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\rho_\bullet} := i^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}) = i^{-1}(\ker(\pi|_{\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}}))$$

and

$$\Delta_{\rho_\bullet} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet} : (\gamma, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}\}.$$

We say that the compatible system $\rho_\bullet$ has *no complex multiplication* if $\mathcal{E}_{\rho_\bullet} = \{1\}$. The field $E_{\rho_\bullet} := L^{\Delta_{\rho_\bullet}}$ (resp. $K_{\rho_\bullet} := L^{\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}}$) is called the *field of definition* (resp. *projective field of definition*) of $\rho_\bullet$.

If $E_{\rho_\bullet}$ contains the square roots of the values of ψ one has that $\Delta_{\rho_\bullet}$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}$, hence $E_{\rho_\bullet}/K_{\rho_\bullet}$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}/\Delta_{\rho_\bullet}$. In particular, $\gamma(E_{\rho_\bullet}) = E_{\rho_\bullet}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\Lambda)_\Lambda$ *be a compatible system and assume that* $E_{\rho_\bullet}$ *contains the square roots of the values of* ψ*. Then for each prime* Λ *of* L *such that* ρ_Λ *is residually absolutely irreducible, the equivalence class* $[\rho_\Lambda]$ *contains a representation that can be defined over the field* $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\Lambda$ *and* $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\Lambda$ *is the smallest such field. Moreover,* $E_{\rho_\bullet}$ *is generated over* K *by the set* $\{a_\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p}$ *prime of* F *not in* $S\}$*.*

Proof. This is just Proposition 4.3.b of [AdDW13] with $n = 2$. □

Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\Lambda)_\Lambda$ be a compatible system. For each prime Λ of L (resp. λ of K below Λ) we denote by L_Λ (resp. K_λ) the completion of L (resp. K) at Λ (resp. at λ). Consider the Galois extension L_Λ/K_λ and define $\Gamma_\Lambda := \mathrm{Gal}(L_\Lambda/K_\lambda)$, $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda := \{\epsilon : G_F \to L_\Lambda^\times\}$ the set of continuous characters from G_F to $L_\Lambda^\times$ and $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda := \mathcal{E}_\Lambda \rtimes \Gamma_\Lambda$. On the other hand, we know that for the equivalence class ρ_Λ the stabilizer group $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\Lambda]}$ of $[\rho_\Lambda]$ is of the form $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\Lambda]} = \mathcal{E}_{[\rho_\Lambda]} \rtimes \Gamma_{[\rho_\Lambda]}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\Lambda)_\Lambda$ be a compatible system and assume that L contains the square roots of the values of ψ. Then for each prime Λ of L such that ρ_Λ is residually absolutely irreducible, the projective field of definition of $[\rho_\Lambda]$ is the completion of $K_{\rho_\bullet}$ at the prime λ[1] below Λ, i.e. $K_{[\rho_\Lambda]} = (K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$.*

Proof. This is Theorem 4.5 of [AdDW13]. □

Given a compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\Lambda)_\Lambda$ we can also talk about the residual representations $\overline{\rho}_\Lambda$. If M is a local field, we denote by $\kappa(M)$ its residue field. Let Λ be a prime of L and assume that ρ_Λ is defined over L_Λ. We consider the Galois extension $\kappa(L_\Lambda)/\kappa(K_\lambda)$ with Galois group $\overline{\Gamma}_\Lambda$. Moreover, for the equivalence class $[\overline{\rho}_\Lambda]$ of the residual representation $\overline{\rho}_\Lambda$ we can define $\mathcal{G}_{[\overline{\rho}_\Lambda]}$, $\mathcal{E}_{[\overline{\rho}_\Lambda]}$ and $\Gamma_{[\overline{\rho}_\Lambda]}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\Lambda)_\Lambda$ be a compatible system and assume that L contains the square roots of the values of ψ. Assume that the restriction to the inertia group $I_\mathfrak{L}$ of $[\overline{\rho}_\Lambda]$ for the primes $\mathfrak{L}$ of F lying over the residual characteristic of Λ is as in Lemma 1.2 or Lemma 1.3. Moreover, assume that there is an integer k, independent of Λ, such that the representations have tame inertia weights at most k. Then for all primes Λ of L, except possibly finitely many, the projective field of definition of $[\overline{\rho}_\Lambda]$ is $\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda)$.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of theorem 4.6 of [AdDW13] if we replace Proposition 3.3 of [AdDW13] by Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3. □

Remark 2.4. Note that ψ of finite order is a condition needed to ensure that all ϵ occurring in the inner twists are of finite order and the condition on the square roots of the values of ψ ensure that ϵ take its values in $E_{\rho_\bullet}$. Moreover, the absolute irreducibility condition is needed to ensure that the representations are determined by the characteristic polynomials of Frobenius and the condition on the shape above ℓ is needed to exclude that the residual inner twists ramify at ℓ.

3 Galois representations and Hilbert modular forms

Let F be a totally real field of degree d. Denote by J_F the set of all embeddings of F into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$. An element $k = \sum_{\tau \in J_F} k_\tau \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[J_F]$ is called a *weight*. We always assume that the k_τ have the same parity and are all ≥ 2. We put $k_0 := \max\{k_\tau : \tau \in J_F\}$. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ and ψ be a Hecke character of conductor dividing $\mathfrak{n}$ with infinity type $2 - k_0$. Consider a Hilbert modular newform $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi)$ over F. By a theorem of Shimura [Shi78], the Fourier coefficients $a_\mathfrak{p}(f)$ of f, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of F, generate a number field E_f.

By the work of Ohta, Carayol, Blasius-Rogawski, Wiles and Taylor [Tay89] and the local Langlands correspondence for GL_2 (see [Car86]), we can associate to f a 2-dimensional *strictly compatible system* of Galois representations $\rho_f = (\rho_{f,\iota})$ of G_F. Specifically, following Khare and Wintenberger [KW09a] ρ_f consist of the data of:

i) for each rational prime ℓ and each embedding $\iota = \iota_\ell : E_f \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ a continuous semisimple representation
$$\rho_{f,\iota} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$

ii) for each prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of F a Frobenius semisimple Weil-Deligne representation $r_\mathfrak{q}$ with values in $\mathrm{GL}_2(E_f)$ such that:

   (a) $r_\mathfrak{q}$ is unramified for all $\mathfrak{q}$ outside a finite set,

[1] Note that in this case λ denotes a prime of $K_{\rho_\bullet}$ and not of K as above.

(b) For each rational prime ℓ, for each prime $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \ell$ and for each $\iota : E_f \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, the Frobenius semisimple Weil-Deligne representation associated to $\rho_{f,\iota}|_{D_\mathfrak{q}}$ is conjugated to $r_\mathfrak{q}$ via the embedding ι.

iii) and a condition for the case where $\mathfrak{q}|\ell$ not used in this work.

The compatible system $\rho_f = (\rho_{f,\iota})$ is associated to f in the sense that for each prime $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}\ell$, the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{f,\iota}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q})$ is

$$X^2 - \iota(a_\mathfrak{q}(f))X + \iota(\chi(\mathfrak{q})N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{q}))$$

Now we introduce a description of the compatible system ρ_f similar to what we saw in the previous section. Let $\iota : E_f \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ be an embedding. Denote by E_ι the closure of $\iota(E_f)$ and by $\mathcal{O}_\iota$ the closure of $\iota(\mathcal{O}_{E_f})$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Let (π) be the maximal ideal of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_\iota$. Then $\Lambda := \mathcal{O}_{E_f} \cap \iota^{-1}((\pi))$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{E_f}$ above ℓ and E_ι can be identified with $E_{f,\Lambda}$, the completion of E_f at Λ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{f,\Lambda} = (\mathcal{O}_{E_f})_\Lambda$. Therefore, we can identify $\rho_{f,\iota}$ with the Λ-adic representation

$$\rho_{f,\Lambda} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{f,\Lambda})$$

More precisely, the composition of $\rho_{f,\Lambda}$ with the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{f,\Lambda} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ equals $\rho_{f,\iota}$. Moreover, $\rho_{f,\Lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible, totally odd and unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}\ell$.

Let $\kappa(E_{f,\Lambda}) = \mathcal{O}_{E_f}/\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_\Lambda$ be the residual field of $E_{f,\Lambda}$. By taking a Galois stable $\mathcal{O}_{E_f}$-lattice, we define the mod ℓ representation

$$\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda),$$

whose semi-simplification is independent of the particular choice of a lattice. Recall that, according to the first section, $\overline{\rho}^{proj}_{f,\Lambda}$ denotes the projective quotient of $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}$, i.e., $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}$ composed with the natural projection $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\rho_f = (\rho_{f,\Lambda})$ be a compatible system associated to a Hilbert modular newform $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi)$ without complex multiplication. Let $K_{\rho_f} = K_f$ be the projective field of definition of ρ_f, $\lambda = \Lambda \cap K_f$ and $\kappa(K_f) = \mathcal{O}_{K_f}/\lambda = \mathbb{F}_\lambda$. Then for almost all Λ the image $\overline{\rho}^{proj}_{f,\Lambda}(G_F)$ is either $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda)$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda)$.*

Proof. By a result of Taylor (proposition 1.5, [Tay97]) $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible for almost all Λ. Then, the result follows directly from the work of Dimitrov (proposition 3.8, [Dim05]) and Proposition 2.3. □

Definition 3.2. We say that a prime Λ of E_f is *nonexceptional* if $\overline{\rho}^{proj}_{f,\Lambda}(G_F)$ is non-solvable and isomophic to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^s})$ or to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^s})$ for some $s > 0$.

In particular, if we keep the assumptions of theorem 3.1 we have only a finite number of exceptional primes. Then, according to the classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$, one has for each exceptional prime that $\overline{\rho}^{proj}_{f,\Lambda}(G_F)$ is, up to semi-simplification, either an abelian group, a dihedral group, A_4, S_4 or A_5.

4 Tamely dihedral representations

In this section we extend the definition of tamely dihedral representation of [DW11] to totally real fields in order to exclude complex multiplication and inner twists.

Let F, E be number fields, $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of F with residual characteristic q and $F_\mathfrak{q}$ be the completion of F at $\mathfrak{q}$. Recall that a 2-dimensional Weil-Deligne representation of $F_\mathfrak{q}$ with values in E can be described as a pair (r, N), where $r : W_\mathfrak{q} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ is a continuous representation of the Weil group $W_\mathfrak{q}$ of $F_\mathfrak{q}$ for the discrete topology on $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ and N is a nilpotent endomorphism of E^2 satisfying a commutativity relation with r (see [Tat79]). We denote by $F_{\mathfrak{q}^2}$ the unique unramified degree 2 extension of $F_\mathfrak{q}$ and by $W_{\mathfrak{q}^2}$ the Weil group of $F_{\mathfrak{q}^2}$.

Definition 4.1. Let F a totally real field, q a rational prime split in the Hilbert class field of F and $\mathfrak{q}$ a prime of F above q. A 2-dimensional Weil-Deligne representation $r_\mathfrak{q} = (r, N)$ of $F_\mathfrak{q}$ with values in E is called *tamely dihedral of order* n if $N = 0$ and there is a tame character $\varphi : W_{\mathfrak{q}^2} \to E^\times$ whose restriction to the inertia group $I_\mathfrak{q}$ is of niveau 2 and of order $n > 2$ such that $r \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{W_{\mathfrak{q}^2}}^{W_\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi)$.

We say that a Hilbert modular newform f is *tamely dihedral of order* n *at the prime* $\mathfrak{q}$ if the Weil-Deligne representation $r_\mathfrak{q}$ associated to the restriction to $D_\mathfrak{q}$ of the compatible system $\rho_f = (\rho_{f,\iota})$ is tamely dihedral of order n.

Henceforth, when we talk about the notion of tamely dihedral at a prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of F, we will assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ divides a rational prime q which is split in the Hilbert class field of F.

If the compatible system $\rho_f = (\rho_{f,\iota})$ is tamely dihedral of order n at $\mathfrak{q}$, then for all $\iota : E_f \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ with $\ell \neq q$, the restriction of $\rho_{f,\iota}$ to $D_\mathfrak{q}$ is of the form $\mathrm{Ind}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}^2}}^{F_\mathfrak{q}}(\iota \circ \varphi) := \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_\mathfrak{q}/F_{\mathfrak{q}^2})}^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_\mathfrak{q}/F_\mathfrak{q})}(\iota \circ \varphi)$. Let $\overline{\varphi}_\Lambda$ be the reduction of φ modulo Λ which is a character of the same order that φ if ℓ and n are relatively prime, then

$$\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}|_{D_\mathfrak{q}} = \mathrm{Ind}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}^2}}^{F_\mathfrak{q}}(\overline{\varphi}_\Lambda).$$

Moreover if $n = p^r$ for some odd rational prime p, then $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv -1 \mod p$, since the character is of niveau 2.

Remark 4.2. Note that the definition of tamely dihedral representation at the prime $\mathfrak{q}$ is the analogue of the first part of the definition of good-dihedral representation of Khare and Wintenberger (see definition 2.1.*i* of [KW09a]).

Now we will show some results, similar to those of § 4 of [DW11], that we will use later in order to exclude nontrivial inner twists.

Lemma 4.3. *Let K be a topological field and F be a totally real field. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of F, $\epsilon : G_{F_\mathfrak{q}} \to K^\times$ be a character and $\rho : G_{F_\mathfrak{q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ be a representation. If the conductors of ρ and of $\rho \otimes \epsilon$ both divide $\mathfrak{q}$, then ϵ or $\epsilon \det(\rho)$ is unramified.*

Proof. By the definition of the conductor, $\rho|_{I_\mathfrak{q}}$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ where $\delta = \det(\rho)|_{I_\mathfrak{q}}$. Consequently, $\rho \otimes \epsilon|_{I_\mathfrak{q}}$ looks like $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & * \\ 0 & \epsilon\delta \end{pmatrix}$. Again, by the definition of the conductor, either $\epsilon|_{I_\mathfrak{q}}$ is trivial or $\epsilon\delta|_{I_\mathfrak{q}}$ is. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let K be a topological field and F a totally real field. Let q be a rational prime split in F, $\mathfrak{q}$ a prime of F above q and $n > 2$ an integer relatively prime to $q(q-1)$. Let $\epsilon : G_{F_\mathfrak{q}} \to K^\times$ and $\varphi, \varphi' : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_\mathfrak{q}/F_{\mathfrak{q}^2}) \to K^\times$ be characters. Assume that φ and φ' are both of order n. If*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}^2}}^{F_\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}^2}}^{F_\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi') \otimes \epsilon,$$

then ϵ is unramified.

Proof. Note that the order of $\epsilon|_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_{\mathfrak{q}}/F_{\mathfrak{q}^2})}$ divides n. If ϵ were ramified, the order of $\epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ would divide $q-1$ times a power of q. But this contradicts the fact that n is relatively prime to $q(q-1)$. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n},\psi)$ be a Hilbert modular newform, $\iota : E_f \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ and $\rho_f = (\rho_{f,\iota})$ a compatible system associated to f. Then, for all inner twist $(\gamma,\epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{\rho_f}$ one has*

$$\rho_{f,\iota} \otimes \epsilon \cong \rho_{f,\iota\circ\gamma}.$$

Proof. We know that the traces of any Frobenius element at any unramified prime $\mathfrak{p}$ are equal:

$$\mathrm{Tr}((\rho_{f,\iota}\otimes\epsilon)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \iota(a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)\epsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \iota(\gamma(a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f))) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{f,\iota\circ\gamma}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})),$$

from which the result follows. □

Note that when γ is trivial, we are covering the complex multiplication case.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n},\psi)$ be a Hilbert modular newform.*

i) Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of F such that $\mathfrak{q} \parallel \mathfrak{n}$ and assume that ψ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$. Then any inner twist of f is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$.

ii) Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of F such that $\mathfrak{q}^2 \parallel \mathfrak{n}$ and f is tamely dihedral at $\mathfrak{q}$ of odd order $n>2$ such that n is relatively prime to $q(q-1)$. Then any inner twist of f is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 4.5 the conductors at $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\rho_{f,\iota}$ and $\rho_{f,\iota\circ\gamma}$ both divide $\mathfrak{q}$. Then, from Lemma 4.3 we have that γ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$, since the determinant of the representation is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$.

(ii) If $r_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is tamely dihedral of order n at $\mathfrak{q}$, $\rho_{f,\iota}|_{D_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is of the form $\mathrm{Ind}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}^2}}^{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\iota\circ\varphi)$, and similarly for $\rho_{f,\iota\circ\gamma}$. Then, by Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.4 γ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$. □

Corollary 4.7. *Let $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n})$ be a Hilbert modular newform over a totally real field F with odd class number such that for all prime $\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{n}$,*

i) $\mathfrak{q} \parallel \mathfrak{n}$ or

ii) $\mathfrak{q}^2 \parallel \mathfrak{n}$ and f is tamely dihedral at $\mathfrak{q}$ of order $n>2$ such that $(n, q(q-1)) = 1$.

Then f does not have nontrivial inner twists nor complex multiplication.

Proof. By Theorem 4.6 any inner twist is everywhere unramified then these are characters of the Galois group $G := \mathrm{Gal}(H/F)$ of the Hilbert class field H of F. Moreover, as the nebentypus of f is trivial, the field of definition E_f of f is totally real and any inner twist nontrivial is necessarily quadratic.

On the other hand, it is well known that the character group $\hat{G}$ of a finite abelian group G is isomorphic to the original group G. Consequently, as h_F is odd then $\hat{G}$ does not have elements of order 2. Therefore, f does not have nontrivial inner twists. The same happens for complex multiplication. □

Remark 4.8. When the class number of F is even we may have nontrivial inner twist. This follows from the fact that any finite abelian group is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Then if h_F is even, the Galois group G of the Hilbert class field of F has at least one cyclic group C of order even as direct summand. Thus C has a character of order 2 which extends to a quadratic character of G by send $g \in G - C$ to 1.

On the other hand, we also have an upper bound for the number of nontrivial inner twists which is $2^{\nu_2(h_F)}-1$, where $\nu_2(\cdot)$ is the 2-adic valuation. Note that we could have $2^{\nu_2(h_F)}-1$ nontrivial inner twists only when $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\nu_2(h_F)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_r\mathbb{Z}$ for some divisors m_i of h_F.

5 Construction of tamely dihedral representations

In this section we provide a method to construct Hilbert modular newforms which are tamely dihedral at some prime via level raising theorems.

Let F be a totally real field and $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi)$ be a Hilbert modular newform over F of level $\mathfrak{n}$ and weight $k = \sum_{\tau \in J_F} k_\tau \tau$. Let $\rho_{f,\iota_p} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ the p-adic Galois representation attached to f as in § 3. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of F above p is well know that $\rho_{f,\iota_p}|_{G_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}$ is de Rham with τ-Hodge-Tate weights $\{m_\tau, m_\tau + k_\tau - 1\}$, where $\tau \in J_F$ is an embedding lying over $\mathfrak{p}$ and $m_\tau = \frac{k_0 - k_\tau}{2}$. Moreover, if $p > k_0$ is unramified in F and relatively prime to $\mathfrak{n}$, then $\rho_{f,\iota_p}|_{G_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}$ is crystalline (see [Bre]).

We say that a Galois representation $\rho : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is *modular* (of level $\mathfrak{n}$ and weight k) if it is isomorphic to ρ_{f,ι_p} for some Hilbert modular newform $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi)$ and some embedding $\iota_p : E_f \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. We say that a Galois representation $\rho : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is *geometric* if it is unramified outside of a finite set of primes of F and if for each prime $\mathfrak{p}$ above p, $\rho|_{G_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}$ is de Rham.

The main ingredient in the modern proofs of level raising theorems is to have an appropriate modularity lifting theorem as follows.

Theorem 5.1 (MLT)**.** *Let F be a totally real field and $p > 3$ be a rational prime unramified in F. Let $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension containing the images of all embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \overline{E}$. Let $\rho, \rho_0 : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_E)$ be two Galois representations such that $\overline{\rho} = \rho \mod \mathcal{P} = \rho_0 \mod \mathcal{P}$ for the maximal ideal $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{O}_E$. Assume that ρ_0 is modular and that ρ is geometric. Assume furthermore that the following properties hold.*

i) $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset Im(\overline{\rho})$.

ii) For all $\mathfrak{p}$ above p, $\rho|_{G_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $\rho_0|_{G_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}$ are crystalline.

iii) For all $\tau : F \hookrightarrow E$, the elements of $HT_\tau(\rho)$ differ by at most $p-2$.

iv) For all $\tau : F \hookrightarrow E$, $HT_\tau(\rho) = HT_\tau(\rho_0)$, and contains two distinct elements.

Then ρ is modular.

Proof. The proof is given in § 5 of [Gee13]. □

Now we are ready to state the level raising theorem that we need. This is well known to the experts, but we sketch the proof for lack of a reference.

Theorem 5.2. *Let F be a totally real field, $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension sufficiently large. Let $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi)$ be a Hilbert modular newform and $p > k_0 + 1$ be a rational prime unramified in F not dividing $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{n})$. Moreover, we assume that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset Im(\overline{\rho}_{f,\iota_p})$. Let q be a rational prime split in the Hilbert class field of F and $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of F above q such that $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}$, $N\mathfrak{q} \equiv -1 \mod p$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(\overline{\rho}_{f,\iota_p}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q})) = 0$. Then there exists a Hilbert modular newform $g \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{q}^2, \tilde{\psi})$ such that $\overline{\rho}_{f,\iota_p} \cong \overline{\rho}_{g,\iota'_p}$ and g is tamely dihedral of order p^r for some $r > 0$ at $\mathfrak{q}$.*

Sketch of proof. Let S be a finite set of places of F consisting of the infinite places, the primes above p, the primes dividing $\mathfrak{n}$ and the prime $\mathfrak{q}$ given above. Let $\overline{\rho} = \rho_{f,\iota_p} \mod \mathcal{P}$, we want to construct a lift ρ of $\overline{\rho}$ such that:

i) for all places of $S - \{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{p}|p\}$, ρ has the same inertial types of ρ_{f,ι_p},

ii) for all $\mathfrak{p}$ above p, $\rho|_{G_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}$ is cristalline and has the same Hodge-Tate weights that ρ_{f,ι_p} and

iii) for $\mathfrak{q}$, $\rho|_{D_\mathfrak{q}}$ have supercuspidal inertial type.

Now we will rephrase the problem in terms of universal Galois deformation rings. Indeed, the representation ρ that we want, corresponds to a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-point on an appropriate Galois deformation ring R_S^{univ} given by choosing the inertial types and Fontaine-Laffaille condition as above. See § 3 of [Gee11] and § 10 of [KW09b] for the precise definition of Galois deformation ring of prescribed type. Thus is enough to check that R_S^{univ} has a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-point.

To prove this, by Proposition 2.2 of [KW09b], is enough prove that $\dim R_S^{\text{univ}} \geq 1$ and that R_S^{univ} is finite over $\mathcal{O}_E$. As the image of $\overline{\rho}$ is non-solvable we can conclude that δ in the formula of remark 5.2.3.a of [Boe] is 0, then from Theorem 5.4.1 of [Boe] we have that $\dim R_S^{\text{univ}} \geq 1$. In other hand, by § 4.22 of [Gee13] and Lemma 2.2 of [Tay03] to prove that R_S^{univ} is finite over $\mathcal{O}_E$, it is enough to show that $R_{S'}^{\text{univ}}$ is finite over $\mathcal{O}_E$, where S' is a base change of S as in § 5.4 of [Gee13]. Then after this base change we can write $R_{S'}^{\text{univ}} = R_{\varnothing}^{\text{univ}}$.

Therefore, the problem is reduced to show that $R_{\varnothing}^{\text{univ}}$ is finite over $\mathcal{O}_E$. But it is proved in [Gee13] (see the proof of theorem 5.1). Then we have that the desired lift exist. Moreover, as this lift satisfy all conditions of Theorem 5.1 we have that ρ is modular for some Hilbert modular newform g of level $\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{q}^2$ and weight k. To see that g is tamely dihedral of order p^r at $\mathfrak{q}$ we can translates word by word the proof of Corollary 2.6 of [Wi08]. □

The following result shows that there is a set of primes $\mathfrak{q}$ of F with positive density in which we can apply Theorem 5.2.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m$ be primes of F and let p be a rational prime unramified in F such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \nmid p$ for all $i = 1, \ldots m$ and $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$. Let $\overline{\rho}_p^{proj} : G_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be an odd Galois representations with image $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$ such that the image of any complex conjugation is contained in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$. Then, the set of primes $\mathfrak{q}$ of F such that*

i) $N\mathfrak{q} \equiv -1 \mod p$,

ii) $\mathfrak{q}$ split in $F(\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$ and

iii) $\overline{\rho}_p^{proj}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}) \sim \overline{\rho}_p^{proj}(c)$, where c is any complex conjugation,

has a positive density.

Proof. As in [DW11], the proof is adapted from Lemma 8.2 of Khare and Wintenberger [KW09a]. Let K/F be such that $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/K) = \ker(\overline{\rho}_p^{proj})$. Then $\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$ is isomorphic either to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$. Let $L = K \cap F(\zeta_p)$. Note that K and $F(\zeta_p)$ are linearly disjoint over L, and L/F is an extension of degree at most 2 because $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$ is a index 2 simple subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$. By assumption the image of any complex conjugation lies in $\mathrm{Gal}(K/L) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$. Then, for linear disjointness, we may appeal to Chebotarev's density theorem to pick up a set of primes $\mathfrak{q}$ of F with positive density such that $\overline{\rho}_p^{proj}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}) \sim \overline{\rho}_p^{proj}(c)$, $\mathfrak{q}$ is split in L/F and $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv -1 \mod p$. Moreover, we can assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ is split in $F(\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$ without losing the positive density. □

The next result shows that we can add more than one tamely dihedral prime to Hilbert modular newforms without affecting the local behavior of the other primes.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n})$ be a Hilbert modular newform over a totally real field F with odd class number such that no prime divisor of $\mathfrak{n}$ divides 2 and for all $\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}$ either $\mathfrak{l}\|\mathfrak{n}$ or $\mathfrak{l}^2\|\mathfrak{n}$ and f is tamely dihedral at $\mathfrak{l}$ of order $n_\mathfrak{l} > 2$. Let $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m\}$ be any finite set of primes of F. Then for almost all primes $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ unramified in F there is a set S of primes of F with positive density which are split in $F(\mathfrak{p}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$ such that for all $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ there is a Hilbert modular newform $g \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{q}^2)$ which is tamely dihedral at $\mathfrak{q}$ of order p and for all $\mathfrak{l}^2\|\mathfrak{n}$, g is tamely dihedral at $\mathfrak{l}$ of order $n_\mathfrak{l} > 2$.*

Proof. For p we may choose any prime $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ unramified in F which is bigger than $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{n})$, bigger than $k_0 + 1$, relatively prime to all $n_\mathfrak{l}$ and such that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset Im(\overline{\rho}_{f,\iota_p})$ (this can be chosen due to Theorem 3.1).

As -1 is a square in $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ (because $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$) and there are no nontrivial inner twists (by the Corollary 4.7) any complex conjugation necessarily lies in PSL_2. Then we can take as S the subset of primes $\mathfrak{q}$ of the set provided by Lemma 5.3 such that $\mathfrak{q}$ is over a rational prime q split in the Hilbert class field of F which has positive density by Chevotarev's density theorem.

For any $\mathfrak{q} \in S$, Theorem 5.2 provides us a Hilbert modular newform $g \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{q}^2, \psi)$ tamely dihedral at $\mathfrak{q}$ of order $p^r > 1$ such that $\overline{\rho}_{f,\iota_p} \cong \overline{\rho}_{g,\iota'_p}$. In fact, from this isomorphism, follows that $r = 1$ and that ψ is trivial. The result now follows exactly as in Theorem 5.4.ii of [DW11] by using the isomorphism mentioned above. □

6 Hilbert modular newforms without exceptional primes

In this section we construct families of Hilbert modular newforms without exceptional primes and without nontrivial inner twist. For technical reasons, henceforth we assume that our totally real fields F are Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see §IV.5 of [Dim03]).

Proposition 6.1. *Let p, q, t, u be distinct odd rational primes such that p and t are unramified in F and q and u are split in the Hilbert class field of F. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be an ideal of F relatively prime to $ptqu$ and $\mathfrak{q}$, $\mathfrak{u}$ be primes of F above q and u respectively. Let $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{q}^2\mathfrak{u}^2)$ be a Hilbert modular newform of weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}[J_F]$ without complex multiplication which is tamely dihedral of order $p^r > 5$ at $\mathfrak{q}$ and tamely dihedral of order $t^s > 5$ at $\mathfrak{u}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m$ be the primes with residual characteristic different of q and u and smaller than or equal to the maximum of $2k_0 - 1$ and the greatest prime divisor of $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{d}_F)$. Assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ is split in $F(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$ and that $\mathfrak{u}$ is split in $F(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$. Then f does not have exceptional primes, i.e. for all prime Λ of E_f the image of $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}^{proj}$ is $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^s})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^s})$ for some $s > 0$.*

Proof. Let Λ be any prime of E_f lies over the rational prime ℓ. By the tamely dihedral behavior, $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}$ is irreducible. Indeed, if $\ell \notin \{p, q\}$, then $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}|_{D_\mathfrak{q}}$ is irreducible. If $\ell \in \{p, q\}$, then $\ell \notin \{t, u\}$ and $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}|_{D_\mathfrak{u}}$ is also irreducible.

Suppose that the projective image is a dihedral group, i.e. $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}^{proj} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_K^F(\alpha)$ for some character α of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/K)$, where K is a quadratic extension of F. From the ramification of $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}$ we know that $K \subseteq F(\ell, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$. First, we assume that $\ell \notin \{p, q\}$, then we have that

$$\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}^{proj}|_{D_\mathfrak{q}} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}^2}}^{F_\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\Omega}^{F_\mathfrak{q}}(\alpha)$$

for some prime Ω of K above q, where φ is a niveau 2 character of order $p^r > 5$. From this we have that, if K were ramified at $\mathfrak{q}$, then $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}^{proj}(I_\mathfrak{q})$ would have even order, but it has order

a power of p, then the field K is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$. For the primes above ℓ we have two cases. If ℓ is bigger than to the maximum of $2k_0-1$ and the greatest prime divisor of $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{d}_F)$ we have from Lemma 3.4 of [Dim05] that the field K cannot ramify at the primes of F above ℓ, then $K \subseteq F(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$. Thus, we conclude from the assumptions that $\mathfrak{q}$ is split in K, which is a contradiction by the irreducibility of $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}|_{D_\mathfrak{q}}$. On the other hand, if ℓ is smaller than or equal to the maximum of $2k_0-1$ and the greatest prime divisor of $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{d}_F)$ we have that the primes above ℓ are contained in the set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m\}$. Thus $K \subseteq F(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m)$ and we obtain a contradiction as in the previous case.

Now if $\ell \in \{p, q\}$, in particular $\ell \notin \{t, u\}$. Then, exchanging the roles $\mathfrak{q} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{u}$, $p \leftrightarrow t$ and $r \leftrightarrow s$, the same arguments again lead to a contradiction. Then, the image of $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}^{proj}$ cannot be a dihedral group.

By the classification of the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, it remains to exclude A_4, S_4, A_5. But, the image of $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}^{proj}$ cannot be any of these groups, since there is an element of order bigger than 5 in the projective image. □

Remark 6.2. In fact from Theorem 3.1 we can conclude in the previous proposition that for almost all Λ the image of $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}^{proj}$ is $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda)$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda)$. Moreover, if f has no nontrivial inner twists the image of $\overline{\rho}_{f,\Lambda}^{proj}$ is $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda)$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda)$ for almost all primes Λ of E_f. On the other hand, for the finite set of primes not satisfying this property the image is also big enough because it contains an element of order p or an element of order t (see Lemma 3.1 of [Wi08]).

Finally, in order to complete our construction we need to assume that the weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}[J_F]$ of our Hilbert modular newforms is *non-induced*, i.e., there does not exist a strict subfield F' of F and a weight $k' \in \mathbb{Z}[J_{F'}]$ such that for each $\tau \in J_F$, $k_\tau = k'_{(\tau|_{F'})}$. This assumption excludes the case where f comes from a base change of a strict subfield of F (see § IV.6-7 of [Dim03] or § 3.5 of [Dim05]).

Theorem 6.3. *There exist families of Hilbert modular newforms $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of weight k and trivial nebentypus over a totally real field F with odd class number which do not come from a base change of a strict subfield of F and without nontrivial inner twists and without complex multiplication such that*

i) for all n, all primes Λ_n of E_{f_n} are nonexceptional and

ii) for a fixed rational prime ℓ, the size of the image of $\overline{\rho}_{f_n,\Lambda_n}^{proj}$ is unbounded for running n.

Proof. Let $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n})$ of non-induced weight k and of squarefree level $\mathfrak{n}$. Then f does not come from a base change of a strict subfield of F and does not have any nontrivial inner twist nor complex multiplication by Corollary 4.7.

Let $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m\}$ be the set of primes with norm smaller than or equal to the maximum of $2k_0-1$ and the greatest prime divisor of $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{d}_F)$. Let $B > 0$ be any bound and p be any prime bigger than B provided by Proposition 5.4 applied to f and the set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m\}$, so that we get $g \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{q}^2)$ which is tamely dihedral at $\mathfrak{q}$ of order p and which does not have any nontrivial inner twist nor complex multiplication (by Corollary 4.7), for some choice of $\mathfrak{q}$.

Now applying Proposition 5.4 to g and the set of primes with norm smaller than or equal to the maximum of $2k_0-1$ and the greatest prime divisor of $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{d}_F)$ we obtain a prime $t > B$ different from p and a Hilbert modular newform $h \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{q}^2\mathfrak{u}^2)$ which is tamely dihedral at $\mathfrak{u}$ of order t and which again does not have any nontrivial inner twist nor complex multiplication (by Corollary 4.7), for some choice of $\mathfrak{u}$.

By Proposition 6.1, h does not have any exceptional primes. Finally, the weight of g and h is the same as that of f, therefore g and h do not come from a change base of a strict subfield of F.

We obtain the family $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by increasing the bound B step by step, so that elements of bigger and bigger projective orders appear in the images of inertia groups. □

We conclude this paper by saying a few words about another method to construct Hilbert modular newforms which are tamely dihedral by using the main result of [Wei08]. More precisely, let F be a totally real field. We define a *global inertial type* $\mathcal{T}(F)$ as a couple $((k_\tau)_\tau, (\sigma_\mathfrak{p})_\mathfrak{p})$ where

i) for each infinite place $\tau \in J_F$, k_τ is a integer ≥ 2 and

ii) for each prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of F not dividing ℓ, $\sigma_\mathfrak{p} : I_\mathfrak{p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is a representation of the inertia group which extends to the full Galois group $G_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$ such that for almost all these are trivial.

On the other hand, given a Hilbert modular newform $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n})$ over F and a rational prime ℓ relatively prime to $\mathfrak{n}$ we can define a type $\mathcal{T}(f)$ taken as $(k_\tau)_\tau$ the weights of f and as $(\sigma_\mathfrak{p})_\mathfrak{p}$ the restrictions of $\rho_{f,\ell}$ to $I_\mathfrak{p}$ for each prime $\mathfrak{p}$. Moreover in [Hen], Henniart shows that any Hilbert modular newform f uniquely determines a global inertial type.

Then, a natural question is: when, given an arbitrary global inertial type $\mathcal{T}(F)$, does this type occur as a type $\mathcal{T}(f)$ for some Hilbert modular newform f? The answer, provided by Weinstein, is as follows.

The local Langlands correspondence, establishes a bijection $\sigma_\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \pi_\mathfrak{p}$ between the set of representation of the inertia group $I_\mathfrak{p}$ as in ii) and the set of isomorphism classes of complex-valued irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_\mathfrak{p})$ (see [Hen]). Then we say that $\sigma_\mathfrak{p}$ is of supercuspidal (resp. special, principal series) type if $\pi_\mathfrak{p}$ is supercuspidal (resp. special, principal series). We define the quantity

$$d(\sigma_\mathfrak{p}) = (-1)^\alpha q^\beta(\gamma q + 1)(\delta q - 1)$$

where q is the cardinality of the residual field of $F_\mathfrak{p}$ and the values of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are determined by the type of $\sigma_\mathfrak{p}$ (see § 2.1 of [Wei08]). Then for each global type we define

$$d(\mathcal{T}(F)) = \prod_{\tau\in J_F} (k_\tau - 1) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\nmid\ell} d(\sigma_\mathfrak{p}).$$

Let $S(\mathcal{T}(F))$ denote the set of Hilbert modular newforms f for which $\mathcal{T}(F) = \mathcal{T}(f)$. The main result of [Wei08] establish that

$$\#S(\mathcal{T}(F)) = 2^{1-(\#J_F)}|\zeta_F(-1)|h_F d(\mathcal{T}(F)) + O(2^{\nu(\mathcal{T}(F))}),$$

where $\zeta_F(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta function for F and $\nu(\mathcal{T}(F))$ is the number of primes where $\sigma_\mathfrak{p}$ is nontrivial plus the number of infinite places were $k_\tau > 2$. Finally, by comparing the quantity $d(\mathcal{T}(F))$ with the error term $2^{\nu(\mathcal{T}(F))}$, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.4 (Weinstein)**.** *Up to twisting by 1-dimensional characters, the set of global inertial types $\mathcal{T}(F)$ for which there is no Hilbert modular newform f with $\mathcal{T}(F) = \mathcal{T}(f)$ is finite.*

Proof. This is just the Corolary 1.2 of [Wei08] □

Let p, q, t, u be distinct odd rational primes, such that p and t are unramified in F and q and u are split in the Hilbert class field of F. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be an ideal of F squarefree and relatively prime to $pqtu$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ be a primes of F above q and u respectively. By Theorem 6.4 we can ensure the existence of a Hilbert modular newforms $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{q}^2\mathfrak{u}^2)$ with supercuspidal types in $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ for some choice of a prime $\mathfrak{q}$ (or equivalently of a prime $\mathfrak{u}$) big enough (because in this case we have that $d(\sigma_\mathfrak{q}) = q(q-1)$ and $d(\sigma_\mathfrak{u}) = u(u-1)$). We note that the hypothesis of making

a choice of a prime $\mathfrak{q}$ or $\mathfrak{u}$ big enough can be avoided if we have big enough weights or enough primes ramified. Thus, for an appropriate choice, f is tamely dihedral of order $p^r > 5$ at $\mathfrak{q}$ and tamely dihedral of order $t^s > 5$ at $\mathfrak{u}$ (see the proof of Corollary 2.6 of [Wi08]). Then we have the following general result.

Theorem 6.5. *There exist families of Hilbert modular newforms $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of weight k and trivial nebentypus over a totally real field F which do not come from a base change of a strict subfield of F and without complex multiplication such that*

i) for all n, all primes Λ_n of E_{f_n} are nonexceptionals and

ii) for a rational fixed prime ℓ, the size of the image of $\overline{\rho}^{proj}_{f_n,\Lambda_n}$ is unbounded for running n.

Proof. Let $B > 0$ some bound. Let p and t rational primes (as above) bigger than B. By choosing the prime $\mathfrak{q}$ (or the prime $\mathfrak{u}$) of F big enough (or alternatively by choosing an ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of F with sufficient prime divisors) we have from the previous discussion and Proposition 6.1 that there exist a Hilbert modular newform without exceptional primes.

Finally, by increasing the bound B we obtain a family $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that elements of bigger and bigger orders appear in the inertia images because the number of inner twists is bounded and depends only of the class number of F (see remark 4.8). □

References

[AdDW13] S. Arias-de-Reyna, L. Dieulefait, G. Wiese, *Compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations and the inverse Galois problem I*, preprint.

[Boe] G. Bockle, *Deformation of Galois representations* In "Arithmetic Geometry and Modularity". Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel (2013).

[Bre] C. Breuil, *Une remarque sur les reprèsentations locales p-adiques et les congruences entre formes modulaires de Hilbert*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 127 (1999) pp. 459-472.

[Car86] H. Carayol, *Sur les reprèsentations l-adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 19 (1986), no. 3, 409-468.

[Dim03] M. Dimitrov, *Valeur critique de la fonction L adjointe d'une forme modulaire de Hilbert et arithmétique du motif correspondant*, PhD thesis, Université Paris 13 (2003), 130pp.

[Dim05] M. Dimitrov, *Galois representations modulo p and cohomology of Hilbert modular varietes* Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4) 38 (2005), no. 4, 505-551.

[DW11] L. Dieulefait, G. Wiese, *On Modular Forms and the Inverse Galois Problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 9, 4569-4584.

[Gee11] T. Gee, *Automorphic lifts of prescribed types*, Math. Ann. 350 (2011), no. 1, 107-144.

[Gee13] T. Gee, *Modularity lifting theorems*, notes for Arizona winter school, (2013) available at http://swc.math.arizona.edu/aws/2013/

[Hen] G. Henniart, *Sur l'unicité des types pour GL(2)*, Duke Math. J. 155 (2002), no. 2, 298-310.

[KW09a] C. Khare, J.-P. Wintenberger, *Serre's modularity conjecture (I)*, Invent. Math. 178 (2009), no. 3, 485-504.

[KW09b] C. Khare, J. P. Wintenberger. *Serre's modularity conjecture, II*, Invent. Math. 178 (2009) no. 3, 505-586.

[Shi78] G. Shimura *The special values of the zeta functions associated with Hilbert modular forms*, Duke Math. J. 45 (1978), no. 3, 637-679.

[Tat79] J. Tate, *Number Theoretic Background*. Proc. in Sym. in Pure Math. Vol. 33 (1979), part 2, 3-26.

[Tay89] R. Taylor, *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. 98 (1989), no. 2, 265-280.

[Tay97] R. Taylor, *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms II*, Conference on Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms (Hong Kong, 1993) 185-191 Ser. Number Theory, I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.

[Tay03] R. Taylor *On icosahedral Artin representations*, Amer. J. Math. 125 (2003), no.3, 549-566.

[Wei08] J. Weinstein, *Hilbert modular forms with prescribed ramification*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2009, no. 8 1388-1420.

[Wi08] G. Wiese, *On projective linear groups over finite fields as Galois groups over the rational numbers* in Modular Forms on Schiermonnikoog, 343-350, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008.